

Researcher Brief

Neuro Frequency Investigation Initiative

A campaign of Cognitive Freedom Coalition

The Invitation

NFII is a campaign of Cognitive Freedom Coalition calling for independent investigation of reports of non-consensual radiofrequency and electromagnetic exposure. We invite researchers and engineers to help establish what the evidence supports, which proposed explanations can be tested, and what findings would rule them in or out.

The public purpose is to protect cognitive freedom, bodily autonomy, informed consent, and mental privacy through work that can be examined and challenged.

What We Believe

We believe radiofrequency and electromagnetic energy is being used on human beings without consent, in ways that are not fully characterized, at a scale that is not fully known, and under legal and regulatory frameworks that were not designed for it.

This is NFII's stated belief. Investigation must establish the mechanism, exposure, and cause in any particular case. Researchers can contribute without endorsing that belief.

Why Engage Now

The FCC is seeking comment on specified RF exposure issues following a court remand, with comments due **October 22, 2026**, in ET Docket 13-84. Relevant evidence and expert analysis have an immediate route into a public regulatory record. [1]

A July statement from the House Intelligence Committee chairman confirms the June recall of intelligence assessments on anomalous health incidents (AHIs). Congress also held a June 30 hearing on MKUltra accountability. These developments reinforce the importance of independent scrutiny; neither establishes a cause for an individual report. [2, 3]

Evidence and Open Questions

Frey's experiments documented RF-induced auditory perception under specified conditions. DARPA's N3 program pursued wearable neural interfaces using several physical approaches. These provide concrete subjects for examination, each with distinct mechanisms and constraints. [4, 5]

A demonstrated effect, a research objective, a patent, and an account of harm are different kinds of evidence. Connecting a mechanism to a reported event requires additional evidence. That connection is a research question NFII wants qualified professionals to examine.

Selected Sources

1. FCC, RF exposure issues subject to court remand. Federal Register, September 22, 2026. ET Docket 13-84; DA 26-997.
2. House Intelligence Committee chairman's statement. July 17, 2026. Confirms the June AHI assessment recall.
3. House Oversight, MKUltra accountability hearing. June 30, 2026.
4. Frey, Human auditory system response to modulated electromagnetic energy. Journal of Applied Physiology 17(4), 689-692 (1962). DOI: 10.1152/jappl.1962.17.4.689.
5. DARPA, Six paths to the nonsurgical future of brain-machine interfaces. May 20, 2019. Research approaches and objectives.

A Focused First Contribution

Start With One Question

Choose one question below that fits your expertise. An initial contribution can be a brief written assessment, an annotated source recommendation, or a discussion of a feasible next step. Please address:

1. **The question:** What can your field usefully establish?
2. **The evidence:** Which findings support or challenge the proposed explanation, and under what conditions?
3. **The next step:** What additional evidence, measurement, or analysis would materially improve the answer?

Five Research Priorities

1. **Non-thermal effects.** Which reported effects are reproducible under characterized exposures, and how are thermal contributions and other explanations evaluated?
2. **Physical limits.** What auditory or neurological effects are supported under specified waveforms, power levels, distances, and coupling conditions?
3. **Neural monitoring and neuromodulation.** What equipment, proximity, and physical coupling are required by a proposed method?
4. **Individual reports.** What records, clinical expertise, exposure measurements, controls, and participant protections would a responsible investigation require?
5. **Transparent results.** How should methods, uncertainties, and positive, negative, or inconclusive findings be documented for independent scrutiny?

Expertise We Seek

Priority areas are **bioelectromagnetics, RF and microwave bioeffects, neural engineering, neuromodulation, neurophysiology, and RF dosimetry**. Contributions from clinical medicine, biophysics, sleep and cognition, instrumentation, study design, ethics, and law can strengthen this work.

Our Research Commitments

NFII commits to independent judgment, disclosure of relevant conflicts, informed consent, and appropriate ethical review before any human-participant research. Public attribution and directory inclusion require the contributor's permission; a contribution does not imply endorsement of all campaign positions.

Null results are a valid and welcome outcome. NFII seeks publication of positive, negative, and inconclusive findings with their methods and limits. Reproducible evidence can strengthen, narrow, or overturn a hypothesis.

Your First Response

When this brief accompanies an outreach email, reply with your field, the question you would address, and a short assessment or relevant source. Identify whether your response is private or may be quoted with your approval. A focused initial response is enough to begin the conversation.